18

INTERVENTION ORPORATION EIVED

BEFORE THE ARIZONA

1 2

3

4

5 6

7 8

10

11

9

12

13

14 15

Snell & Wilmer

17 18

16

19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26 27

28

COMMISSIONERS

7006 NOV -3 P 4: 30

JEFF HATCH-MILLER – Chairman WILLIAM A. MUNDELL MIKE GLEASON

KRISTIN K. MAYES **BARRY WONG**

AZ CORP COMMISSION DOCUMENT CONTROL

Arizona Corporation Commission DOCKETED

0000063314

NOV -3 2006

DOCKETED BY MR

In the Matter of the Application of Arizona Water Company for Approvals Associated with a Transaction with the Maricopa County Municipal Water Conservation District Number One

DOCKET NO. W-01303A-05-0718

APPLICATION OF COURTLAND HOMES, INC. FOR LEAVE TO INTERVENE

Courtland Homes, Inc., an Arizona corporation ("Courtland") through its undersigned counsel, and pursuant to Arizona Administrative Code Sections R14-3-101(B) and R14-3-105, hereby applies for an order granting it leave to intervene in the above-captioned proceeding.

In support of its Application, Courtland states as follows:

- On October 11, 2005, Arizona-American Water Company ("Arizona-1. American") filed with the Commission an application ("Initial Application") in this matter in which it requested the Commission's approval of several actions related to a proposed joint project with Maricopa County Municipal Water Conservation District Number One ("MWD") to build a water treatment facility known as the White Tanks Regional Water Treatment Facility ("White Tanks Plant") in Arizona-American's Agua Fria Water District ("Agua Fria District").
- The Initial Application indicated that Arizona-American and MWD 2. executed a Memorandum of Understanding ("MOU") under which the White Tanks Plant was to be financed, built and owned by MWD. See, Initial Application at 1. Arizona-American was to obtain treatment services for its Agua Fria District under a long-term capital lease with MWD, and an Arizona-American affiliate was to operate the White Tanks Plant under an Operation and Maintenance Agreement with MWD. Id.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

To reduce the rate impact from the MWD capital lease, Arizona-American 3. proposed to increase Central Arizona Project ("CAP") hook-up fees for new customers while discontinuing water facilities hook-up fees ("Hook-up Fees"). See, Report of Arizona-American Water Company: White Tanks Plant – Capital Lease with Maricopa Water District at 22, attached to Initial Application. The net result would have been a total increase in overall hook-up fees of approximately 38%. Id. The Commission's Utilities Division Staff ("Staff") later recommended retaining the Hook-up fees and increasing those fees by a reduced amount. See, Staff Report filed February 10, 2006 ("Initial Staff Report") at 10-11.

- On December 19, 2005, a procedural order was issued setting dates and 4. deadlines for hearings and other matters in these proceedings. It was ordered that applications for leave to intervene be filed on or before January 23, 2006. In addition, a hearing was set for March 7, 2006, and a pre-hearing conference for March 2, 2006.
- 5. An application for leave to intervene was filed by the Residential Utility Consumer Office ("RUCO"). See, RUCO's Application to Intervene filed January 4, 2006.
- 6. On March 2, 2006, at the request of Arizona-American, a procedural order was issued continuing the hearing set for March 7, 2006, to allow Arizona-American additional time to finalize its deal with MWD. However, by June, 2006, it became apparent that Arizona-American and MWD would not reach an agreement regarding construction of the White Tanks Plan. See, Arizona-American's Notice of Filing May Report, filed June 1, 2006.
- On September 1, 2006, Arizona-American filed a substantially revised 7. application with the Commission requesting approval of certain actions it asserts are needed to allow Arizona-American to proceed with the White Tanks Plan on its own ("Revised Application"). The Revised Application abandons the previous requests for approval asserted under the Initial Application, and proposes an entirely different means of financing the White Tanks Plant. American-American Water proposed two options

that, under either option, would increase the Hook-Up Fees by substantial amounts.

- 8. Pursuant to a procedural order dated October 6, 2006, Staff filed its Staff Report on the Revised Application. The Staff Report recommends, in part, that the Hook-Up Fees be increased significantly.
- 9. On October 23, 2006, Pulte Home Corporation ("Pulte") filed an Application for Leave to Intervene. On November 2, 2006, a procedural order was issued granting Pulte's intervention request, but required Pulte to abide by the existing procedural schedule. Pulte was ordered to file any response to the Staff Report and Staff Recommended Order on or before November 6, 2006.
- 10. Courtland is developing a master-planned community known as the Greer Ranch North Development ("Greer Ranch") which contains approximately 878 lots. Greer Ranch is in Arizona-American's Agua Fria District. Thus, Courtland is directly and substantially impacted by the proposed Hook-Up Fee increase in this matter.
- 11. There is good cause to allow Courtland to intervene in these proceedings after the intervention deadline because the requests in the Revised Application have changed dramatically since January, and Courtland is directly impacted by the changed requests. No other party to this matter represents the same interests as Courtland.
- 12. Courtland's intervention will not expand the issues presented, nor is it intended that Courtland's intervention will unduly broaden or delay the proceedings. Moreover, consistent with the procedural doer granting Pulte's intervention, Courtland will abide by the existing procedural schedule and file any responses it may have to the Staff Report and Staff Recommended Order by November 6, 2006. Therefore, no party to this proceeding will be prejudiced by Courtland's intervention.
- 13. Communications regarding these proceedings can be sent to Courtland addressed to its attorneys as follows:

Jeffrey W. Crockett Bradley S. Carroll Snell & Wilmer LLP 400 East Van Buren Phoenix AZ 85004-2202 LLP.

LAW OFFICES

One Arizona Center, 400 E. Van Bur
Phoenis, Arizona 8504-2202

Telephone: (602) 382-6234 Facsimile: (602) 382-6070 <u>jcrockett@swlaw.com</u> <u>bcarroll@swlaw.com</u>

14 A copy of this motion to intervene is being sent via first class mail and electronic mail to the attorneys for Arizona-American at the address below.

WHEREFORE, Courtland respectfully requests that the Commission grant its motion to intervene in the above-captioned proceeding.

DATED this 3rd day of November, 2006.

SNELL & WILMER L.L.P.

By

Jeffrey W. Crockett Bradley S. Carroll Snell & Wilmer LLP 400 East Van Buren Phoenix AZ 85004-2202 ORIGINAL and thirteen (13) copies filed with

Docket Control November 3, 2006.

COPY of the foregoing hand-delivered

Snell & Wilmer

1

2

3

2627

28